

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 296349
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	Very Good	There is very good knowledge of the topic demonstrated with a mostly focused approach to your topic relating to your Literature Review title. However, instead of 'listing' and describing content through section 6 of the assignment synthesising the discussion on specific particulars of the topic in a more in-depth manner, will allow a more focused approach to more specificities in the discussion which will give greater focused depth to the content overall, given that the assignment is only 2,000 words
Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	Satisfactory	There is satisfactory criticality with some light comparison and discussion of some points here and there in the Literature Review but the content is mostly descriptive. Instead of 'siloing' discussion; and so talking about one point in the assignment and then moving onto another, [again section 6 is a good example], compare and contrast specific point to point findings from your research that engage in a more dynamic pros and cons debate. Currently the research content in the Literature Review tends to be merely interpreted research as opposed to deep critical analysis occurring. There is theory relating to practice and this is a positive.
Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	Very Good	Generally very good use of research references. If you take the approach to research application discussed in criticality above, then you will be able to vary your citation application more. And so for example instead of citing at the end of paragraphs in some instances, you will be able to apply citation in various parts of the your paragraph as you are using different research reference points and so do bear this in mind for future.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment)	Excellent	The assignment is laid out to a generally excellent standard. Time and planning have been applied to the layout and the content is easily visually accessible, with defined sub-headers, clear paragraphs and page numbers for example.

<p>guidance) (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>		<p>For a little extra professional finesse you could add a title page and a contents page, and this will be worth considering for future academic assignments.</p>
<p>Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>	<p>Very Good</p>	<p>The 'Deep Learning' option is on the Unit 1 Literature Review list. Your assignment is also within the allocated word count for the summative and this is good practice. Use full words and not contractions and so don't should be do not. You do have a developing academic writing style which is logical and easy to access. Again look at all comments in criticality as this will further support your general academic writing style. Overall though very good academic integrity.</p>
<p>Overall</p>		
<p><u>Positives:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally excellent assignment layout • Overall, good application of research • And use of relevant research resources 		
<p><u>Points for development:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content tends to be very descriptive currently and so please do work on evaluation and analysis of research to develop debate in your future summative • Currently, research tends to be 'interpreted' in siloed discussion making content descriptive • Observing the above points should develop the critical tone to your content 		